

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/839,779	KASSIS ET AL.		
	Examiner SUSAN HANLEY	Art Unit 1651		
All Participants: (1) <u>SUSAN HANLEY</u> . (2) <u>Mark Russett</u> .	Status of Application: <u>RCE</u> (3) _____. (4) _____.			
Date of Interview: <u>2 September 2008</u>	Time: <u>10:00</u>			
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)				
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .				
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>none</i>				
Claims discussed: 50-92				
Prior art documents discussed: <i>none</i>				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Mr. Russett agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment to bring the case into condition for allowance.</i>				
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				